UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RANDLE GRIFFIN,

Plaintiff,

HONORABLE JOHN CORBETT O'MEARA

v.

No. 11-14876

LOUIS CONDON, et al.,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL - VOLUME 5

Tuesday, January 24, 2017

#### Appearances:

Brandon C. Hubbard Wade Fink Nolan John Moody Jessica L. Russell
Dickinson Wright, PLLC (313) 223-3500 On behalf of Plaintiff

Clifton B. Schneider Dickinson Wright, PLLC

500 Woodward Avenue, #4000

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Michigan Dept. of Att. General
P.O. Box 30736

Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 373-6434 On behalf of Defendants

> To obtain a certified transcript, contact: Sheri K. Ward, Official Court Reporter Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Boulevard, Room 219 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313)965-4401 · ward@transcriptorders.com

Transcript produced using machine shorthand and CAT software.

# Jury Trial Tuesday/January 24, 2017/Vol. 5

#### INDEX

<u>Pag</u> e V	/O1
Jury Verdict7	5
Jury Polled8	5
Jury Dismissed9	5
Certification of Reporter11	

\_ \_ \_

# Jury Trial Tuesday/January 24, 2017/Vol. 5

V5-Page 3

Detroit, Michigan
Tuesday, January 24, 2017
3 9:42 a.m.

-

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: The court calls the matter of

Randle Griffin v. Louis Condon et al., Case Number 11-14876.

THE COURT: Counsel, please put your appearances on the record to start the morning.

MR. FINK: Good morning, Your Honor. Wade Fink on behalf of the plaintiff, Randle Griffin, with my cocounsel Jessica Russell and cocounsel Nolan Moody.

THE COURT: Good morning. Thank you.

MR. FINK: Good morning.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Good morning, Your Honor.

Cliff Schneider on behalf of the defendants.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you. You can sit down for a minute. I don't know how long this will take, but it might take more than 30 seconds.

I have a, I guess from the same foreperson on the same basis that we received notes yesterday, I have one saying, "Your Honor," with the date on it today, "We, the jury, have reached a verdict."

I guess I would like to assume that they really have and that we're going to find a way to poll the jury and do so in a satisfactory way. I'm a little apprehensive though about

## Jury Trial Tuesday/January 24, 2017/Vol. 5

V5-Page 4

what's happened here, and to start with this morning, they have had this, before we got this note, the parties and their lawyers were of course someplace else. We had to -- not that you were -- had done anything wrong, but you were across the street, as I understand it, and it took a few minutes to get you here and really very little time that the jury had it in the jury room before they concluded that they had reached a verdict.

And I'm concerned, and we can look at it, and we will, but I'm concerned that it may not be one that complies with the provisions of the Form of Verdict, and if it doesn't, I think we've got some questions, a question, or maybe more than one. Well, this is something to think about. If we're not satisfied that there is something like a verdict that we purported to be presented to the jury and that they complied with, it might be that the parties themselves would like to conclude something different from what's in the purported jury verdict if we can't say that we have a jury verdict and that that verdict is complicit with whatever we had in mind when we told the jury what to do.

I may be confusing things more than helping them, but I'm suggesting that there might be an alternative to, if the Form of Verdict is not consistent and regular, there might be a way that at least concludes this proceeding, which amounts, however you put it, to a settlement between the parties if you could do 11-14876; Griffin v. Condon, et al.

## Jury Trial Tuesday/January 24, 2017/Vol. 5

V5-Page 5

that, if that were something that was agreeable to you, and I think you were headed to some extent in that direction yesterday.

You needn't, you needn't garble the record or confuse it any more than I have already. I just, I'm worried that we're going to get something from the jury that might not look like what we put out there and what happens if they do that. It may not happen. They may come in with a verdict that is perfectly consistent with what we gave them in the Form of Verdict.

Now, I don't -- if somebody has something dispositive, something that you believe you need to say to have the record reflect before we bring the jury in, I guess you can say it if you do it short form right now, or you may have nothing and maybe that's the answer, to let the jury come in and see what they have got in their minds.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Your Honor, when I hear you say something dispositive, I feel like you are inviting me to renew my motion for judgment as a matter of law and directed verdict, and I believe I already did that and it was denied. I want to make sure I am not waiving that.

THE COURT: You have done that, and you won't waive your opportunity.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Thank you.

MR. FINK: Nothing from us, Your Honor, before we see the verdict form and traditional polling of the votes.

### Jury Trial Tuesday/January 24, 2017/Vol. 5

V5-Page 6

THE COURT: I hope there is enough there that makes sense that polling would make sense.

MR. FINK: I understand.

THE COURT: But I'm worried about that, and now I have said enough about the whole thing, I guess. Let's bring in the jury.

MR. FINK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE CLERK: All rise.

(Jury in at 9:50 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, members of the jury.

Please be seated.

This morning you were on time even though what we were doing and how long it would take us to do it was not necessarily understood by anybody in here or you, but I -- you came back and you have told us in a note from the foreperson of the jury that the jury has reached a verdict, and that would be Mr. Fotchuk. I have trouble, not that it's that complicated, but I have trouble landing on that name and being confident saying it.

JUROR NUMBER 5: Fotchuk.

THE COURT: Have you reached a verdict?

JUROR NUMBER 5: We have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Hand it up, please.

Consistent with what the parties informed the Court and informed you what they would accept as a significantly -- 11-14876; Griffin v. Condon, et al.

### Jury Verdict Tuesday/January 24, 2017/Volume 5

V5-Page 7

sufficiently, I guess is the word, unanimous -- it's not unanimous in the sense that it's a verdict that actually has everybody in the same position, but basically what the parties have done, as I understand it, and on that basis it's regular and consistent, regarding seven people voting for a particular result as unanimous as to that result or unanimous decision, and I find that the verdict form is regular and consistent on that basis and I ask Mr. Barkholz to read it into the record.

THE CLERK: In the matter of Randle Griffin v.

Louis Condon, Joseph Downard, Gary McMurtrie, Case

Number 11-14876.

1. Do you find that plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the defendants violated the plaintiff's First Amendment rights?

Condon, yes. Downard, yes. McMurtrie, yes.

- 2. Do you find that plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that two or more of the defendants committed a conspiracy to violate the plaintiff's First Amendment rights?

  Condon, yes. Downard, yes. And McMurtrie, yes.
- 3. We find that plaintiff proved his claims by a preponderance of the evidence in Counts 1 and 2, and we award damages in the following amounts:

Condon. Actual compensatory, presumed or nominal damages, \$500. Punitive, \$5,000.

Downard. Actual compensatory, presumed or nominal 11-14876; Griffin v. Condon, et al.

# Jury Polled Tuesday/January 24, 2017/Vol. 5

V5-Page 8

damages, \$500. Punitive, \$5,000 -- or, I'm sorry, \$3,000. Punitive, that's \$3,000.

McMurtrie. Actual compensatory, presumed or nominal damages, \$500. Punitive, \$3,000.

And it is signed by the foreperson.

THE COURT: Mr. Barkholz, will you make that part of the official record in this case.

I am going to now poll the members of the jury and ask if that is your verdict.

Juror Number 1, Rosalba Giles-Davis, is that your verdict?

JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And Mr. Petersmark, is that your verdict?

JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And Ms. Greenfield, is that your verdict?

JUROR: Yes.

**THE COURT:** And Ms. Quinkert, is that your verdict?

JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And Mr. Fotchuk -- have I got that right?

THE FOREPERSON: You've got it right.

THE COURT: And Mr. Shaun Hatfield, is that your

verdict?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Vela, is that your verdict?

JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: And Mr. Rotenberg, is that your verdict?

11-14876; Griffin v. Condon, et al.

### Jury Dismissed Tuesday/January 24, 2017/Vol. 5

V5-Page 9

JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Very well. On the basis that Mr., that Mr. Barkholz has read the verdict into the record, it appears as though in fact the verdict was unanimous, and the jury has complied with its responsibility in several ways and been patient in coming back this extra morning, if that's what it is.

Thank you. Thank you for your service. I'm going to excuse you to discharge you in a minute. When I've done that, you can talk to anybody you want to about this, but you needn't talk to anybody, tell them anything that happened.

You might even get calls from media people, although I haven't seen any in the courtroom. I might not recognize every one of them, but usually I do. And you might get telephone calls from other people who are interested in the case. You can talk to them if you want to or you cannot talk to them, if that's what you desire. It's up to you. Once I say you are discharged, you are no longer under any, any compulsion to talk or not to talk or to say something or not to say something, and that includes the other members of the jury and what you tell, if anything, to other members not on the jury.

Thank you for being here this morning. Thank you for putting up with the cold weather and the rain. You have done your job, you have been good citizens, and you've been consistently there in the morning, here for us. We appreciate 11-14876; Griffin v. Condon, et al.

V5-Page 10

that. Everybody here appreciates it. That in some cases isn't entirely clear to jurors one way or another on some things, but everybody appreciates the fact that you made the system work and have been good citizens, very good citizens in doing so.

You can talk among other people, too, to counsel. It won't be the parties. They won't come in. But if you want to have counsel come in or, if not all counsel, select counsel, if you want them to come in and you want to talk to them, you can do that. And you cannot be there, I mean you can leave, or if any of you want to, want to stay, you can stay, or you can get out of there and do nothing.

The only exception I would make is that I would, I would appreciate it if each of you or all of you would stay in there for two or three minutes at the end of the time in which you are discharged when you go into the jury room because I would like to come in and shake your hand and thank you for your service on this jury.

Having said all of that, you are discharged. Thank you very much.

(Jury out at 9:54 a.m.)

THE COURT: Make sure they know they've got certificates coming.

Counsel for plaintiffs, counsel for defendants, thank you for your patience and courtesy. Is there anything further anybody would like the record to reflect at this time?

11-14876; Griffin v. Condon, et al.

V5-Page 11

MR. FINK: From plaintiff, Your Honor, we just, we intend to -- just let the record reflect we intend to file a motion for attorney fees to the extent allowable by the Prison Litigation Reform Act. We'll do that to the extent allowable, but I just wanted to put that on the record.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Nothing from the defense.

THE COURT: Thank you to you, Mr. Griffin, and to the defendants who have showed up on the stand, too. I appreciate the fact that you're here doing your civic duty and participating in the judicial process, and I know it's not necessarily the most fun you have ever had, but you have done it and done it well and I thank you for that. Good luck to all of you.

You are remanded, Mr. Griffin. Good luck to you. The Court is in recess.

MR. FINK: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Proceedings concluded at 10:00 a.m.)

- - -

#### CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcription of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

s/ Sheri K. Ward 2/7/2019
Sheri K. Ward Date
Official Court Reporter